



Short Circuit

Expect renewed demands for Tesla's board to rein in mercurial CEO **Elon Musk** after investors belatedly realized that the electric vehicle maker had set a December 22 deadline for submitting shareholder resolutions for its May AGM—way back in October, buried on page 57 of a 60-page [10-Q](#). The highly unusual notice, typically done in a 8-K release, seems designed to avoid a [flood](#) of resolutions by investors already [upset](#) over Musk's distractions with **Twitter** as Tesla's shares sink. He also [faces](#) a securities fraud trial over that 2018 tweet saying he had secured funding to take Tesla private. One proposal did make the cut, [calling](#) for a succession [plan](#) at a firm with just two [named](#) executive officers alongside Musk. The [evasion](#) of shareowner scrutiny is a particular embarrassment for former **Government Pension Investment Fund** of Japan CIO [Hiro Mizuno](#), a global ESG leader who [joined](#) Tesla's [board](#) in 2020 (GPW [XXVI-28](#)).

Briefings

Full Steam Ahead The US SEC is not backing down in the face of looming attacks on its ESG rulemaking from a [hostile](#) GOP newly returned to majority control in the House. The agency's short-term [agenda released](#) January 4 projects a final climate disclosure rule by April, along with some two dozen other hot-button proposals on board diversity, human capital, share buybacks and easier thresholds for submitting AGM resolutions. A second [agenda](#) for October includes proxy plumbing and credit rating firm conflicts of interest—all “guided by economic analysis, and shaped by public input,” [said](#) chair **Gary Gensler**. On Tuesday, the agency [named](#) **AARP** vice president for financial security [Cristina Martin Firvida](#) to be its [Investor Advocate](#), succeeding [Rick Fleming](#), who [stepped](#) down last July after serving in the role since its creation in 2014 following the **Dodd Frank** act (GPW [XXVI-22](#)).

Bank On It *Central banks struggle as calls mount for funds to accelerate climate oversight:*

➤ **The European Central Bank** is “tilting our corporate bond portfolio towards issuers with better climate scores, with a view to removing the existing bias towards emission-intensive firms.” So [said](#) board member **Isabel Schnabel** Tuesday in a speech [hailed](#) as

a paradigm shift by London NGO [Anthropocene Fixed Income Institute](#), a fierce critic. Schnabel conceded that the ECB's current actions “are not sufficient to ensure a decarbonisation trajectory that is consistent with carbon neutrality of our operations by 2050.”

➤ **US Federal Reserve Board** chair **Jerome Powell** [expressed](#) nearly the opposite view the same day, arguing that “without explicit congressional legislation, it would be inappropriate for us to use our monetary policy or supervisory tools to promote a greener economy or to achieve other climate-based goals. **We are not, and will not be, a “climate policymaker.”** However, Powell's assertion may be mostly aimed at fending off the GOP anti-ESG campaign, since he also defended the Fed's December [proposal](#) for climate policy [requirements](#) by the banks it oversees (GPW [XXVI-44](#)). Indeed, Fed staffers continue to assess bank climate risk, such as a January 5 [paper](#) concluding that despite progress, Global Systemically Important Banks are “only beginning to measure financed emissions resulting from their loans and investments, which comprise the vast majority of their emissions.”

➤ **Voting** by [Climate Action 100+](#) investors is not being deployed to hold boards to account for lagging net zero policies. So concludes a [report posted](#) Tuesday by US NGO **Majority Action** analyzing the 2022 ballots cast by 104 of the CA100+ 700 [investors](#), expanding on a similar critique in its 2021 [assessment](#) (GPW [XXVI-06](#)). Many funds are not yet casting votes in line with those flagged for opposition by the group's lead investors. Thirty-one funds backed 90% or more of the ten US directors flagged last year while 14 others voted for them all. “Seventeen opposed just one director, including **BlackRock**, **State Street**, **Goldman Sachs**, and **JP Morgan**, four of the largest CA100+ signatories by AUM.” It did not name **Vanguard**, which is not a CA100+ signatory—and [dropped](#) out of the [Net Zero Asset Managers](#) initiative last month (GPW [XXVI-44](#)). However, Majority Action did not address [explanations](#) by **BlackRock** and others that some climate demands have become overly prescriptive (GPW [XXVI-30](#)).

➤ **Nature**. The PRI Monday [added assessments](#) of biodiversity [policies](#) to its [Inevitable Policy Response](#) climate forecasts in the wake of the COP15 [framework](#), with an initial focus on land use (GPW [XXVI-46](#)). An initial estimate [projects](#) annual revenue from all “Nature Based Solutions” at US\$22 billion by 2030 and US\$204 billion by 2050 “as corporates and governments

pursue cost-effective carbon mitigation options that also produce nature co-benefits.”

- **Canadian** issuers prioritize carbon emission reduction goals among E&S factors, finds an [assessment](#) of 82 large firms [published](#) Monday by the law firm **Fasken**. Still, only 52% have set net zero targets so far while 46% have set one for absolute emission reductions.
- **GRESB** [opened applications](#) Monday for two net zero working groups, on [real estate](#) and [infrastructure](#), to sort out diverging understandings and devise a common definition for its standards. Conclusions, due by May, will further the new net zero [standards](#) the [Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark](#) [introduced](#) in October (GPW [XXVI-40](#)).


Follow the Money *US firms face heightened scrutiny of their political spending:*

- **Issuers** will be thrust further into the political divide heightened from a [resolution calling](#) on them to require and disclose reports from trade associations and political action committees they fund detailing exactly where their money goes. The resolution, based on the **Center for Political Accountability's** [Code of Conduct for Corporate Political Spending](#), has been filed so far at **Amazon, Coca-Cola, Elevance Health, Eli Lilly, Merck, PayPal, Travelers and Walgreens**. The goal: to prompt companies to take ownership of spending that does not align with their “stated values, goals, and mission.” See the resolution, which the Center intends to file at 40-plus more issuers this year, as an escalation of its highly [successful](#) approach of requesting disclosure of the company's own spending (GPW [XXVI-46](#)).
- **An InfluenceMap** [platform](#) unveiled this week grades 130-plus US issuers on their climate [policies](#) as well as those of the trade [associations](#) they fund. The US platform, still in beta mode, covers all the firms targeted by the CPA except **Elevance** and **Travelers**. It adds to similar lobbying [trackers](#) the London NGO maintains for Australia, the European Union, Japan and South Korea. The group [plans](#) to extend them to US states, starting with California, Texas, New York, Florida and Illinois.

 **China Policy** Does the **International Sustainability Standards Board's** December 29 [agreement](#) with China's **Ministry of Finance** to open a Beijing office to pose a long-term risk of greenwashing by an authoritarian government increasingly [intolerant](#) of criticism? The office, opening this year to serve as “a hub for stakeholder engagement in Asia,” widens the role in global ESG standard-setting China now plays through its membership on the [International Platform on Sustainable Finance](#). The risk, already a mounting investor [concern](#), is heightened by China's multiplying ESG shortfalls: it scores near the bottom on **Robeco's** 2023 country sustainability [ranking published](#) January 5.

ESG D&O *Innovations in incorporating ESG into directors and officers insurance:*

- **Corporates**. Insurers are increasingly tapping ESG risk assessments to set D&O premiums and limit or even deny coverage, finds a [paper posted](#) January 6 by **Amelia Miazad** of the **University of California Davis**. ESG, she argues, may run counter to the conventional view that D&O reduces the deterrent effect of litigation. Why? Because systemic risks like climate impact both sides of insurers' balance sheets—the liabilities of D&O claims as well as the shares they hold as asset owners. **Given their leverage over coverage, “D&O insurers are uniquely positioned to encourage companies to reduce their environmental externalities and minimize ESG risks.”**
- **Funds** now can get ESG risk included in D&O coverage from [Apex Protect](#), [unveiled](#) Monday by financial services provider **Apex**, specialty insurer **Mosaic Insurance** and corporate consultancy **Aon**. Fund compliance with global rules are assessed by [ESG Invest Check](#) complemented by an [ESG Ratings](#) service. The carrot: “improving ESG scores will result in premium benefits.”

 **Spend More!** Funds should ramp up spending to reach the still largely unfulfilled potential of stewardship, says a Tuesday [post](#) by PRI stewardship specialist **Clara Melot**. One way forward: move past simply counting engagements or even staff by measuring “an engagements-per-analyst or an analyst-per-portfolio company ratio (that) might provide a much-needed reality check.” See the suggestion as a preview of a stewardship resource benchmarking the PRI [commissioned](#) last month from the [Thinking Ahead Institute](#), which is due in July.

Services

A proxy advisory service launched Tuesday by boutique Ohio investment manager **Strive** aims to capitalize on the GOP's anti-ESG campaign with advice intended to counter what it calls the “political orthodoxies” of **ISS** and **Glass Lewis**. See the service, which grew out of **Strive** co-founder [Vivek Ramaswamy's](#) 2021 book titled [Woke, Inc.: Inside Corporate America's Social Justice Scam](#), as an attempt to attract investors to the US\$500 million [fund](#).

Toolkit

A Systems Map published by the **Impact Management Platform** Tuesday offers investors and financial institutions an overview of tools and guidance available to help them manage ESG impacts, alongside a similar [map](#) for companies and other organizations. A searchable [resource list](#) provides access to everything on both maps.